

**Office of Research Compliance
(in affiliation with the North Texas Regional
Institutional Review Board)**

Post Approval Monitoring (PAM) Audit Checklist

Date of Audit: _____

Name of Research Compliance Auditor(s): _____

Principal Investigator: _____

School/Department: _____

IRB Project #: _____

IRB Project Title: _____

Is this a periodic compliance audit? Yes No N/A
If no, is this a for-cause audit? Yes No N/A

If N/A for both, please provide reason for audit: _____

Name of person(s) available during the on-site audit:

Key Personnel:

1. Are all study personnel up-to-date with their training in human subjects research (e.g., CITI, etc.)? Yes No N/A
2. Is a conflict of interest form on-file for all key personnel on the study? Yes No N/A
3. Have all research personnel working on the project been appropriately documented and accounted for on the project (e.g., listed on the protocol synopsis, approved via the *Application for Change in Study Personnel* form and study amendment, etc.)? Yes No N/A

Research Protocol:

1. Does the project have current IRB approval? Yes No N/A
2. Has the research been conducted in a manner which complies with the project description and procedures as approved by the IRB? Yes No N/A
3. Were all data collection instruments used by researchers approved by the IRB? Yes No N/A
4. Deviations documented / reported? Yes No N/A
5. Did subjects receive participation remuneration / payment schedule? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Consent / Assent Process:

For the consent forms and documentation reviewed, complete the following questions:

1. Is a written consent form required? Yes No N/A
 - a. If required, did the subject sign the consent form prior to entry? Yes No N/A
 - b. If required, was it the current and correct IRB-approved/stamped version? (check expiration date)? Yes No N/A
2. Is a verbal/online consent process required (not written)? Yes No N/A
 - a. If verbal/online, was the IRB-approved script used? Yes No N/A
 - b. If verbal/online, was the subject's consent documented? Yes No N/A

3. How many subjects are/were enrolled to date? _____
4. How many subjects are/were completed / lost to follow up (LTF) / withdrawn (WD) to date?

5. How many subjects were approved by the IRB in the protocol? _____
6. How many subjects were chosen for this review? _____
7. If applicable, did the subject initial/date each page of the consent form? (Not applicable if initials/date not included in the consent form.) Yes No N/A
8. Did each subject sign/date his/her own consent form on the signature page?
Yes No N/A
9. Was there a research team member acknowledgement on the signature page?
Yes No N/A
10. Did anyone not approved by the IRB to consent subjects sign as a study representative?
Yes No N/A
A. If YES, who? _____
11. Are there any unexplained date discrepancies? Yes No N/A
A. If YES, describe: _____
12. Were invalid consent forms used? Yes No N/A
13. Did each subject receive a copy of the consent form? Yes No N/A
14. Was the consent process witnessed (audited) on-site? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Eligibility Criteria:

1. Did each subject meet eligibility criteria? Yes No N/A
If NO, were they excluded appropriately? Yes No N/A
If NO, was a protocol deviation submitted to the IRB? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Adverse Events / Serious Adverse Events / Unanticipated Problems/Complaints:

1. Have there been any adverse events (AE), serious adverse events (SAE), unanticipated problems, complaints, or subject withdrawals while conducting this research? Yes No N/A
a. If YES, have all details been reported to the IRB? Yes No N/A
2. Reported / documented in a timely manner? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Recruitment/Materials:

1. Were subjects identified and recruited according to the methods approved by the IRB?
Yes No N/A
2. Were the advertisements and/or the recruitment materials used to recruit subjects approved by the IRB?
Yes No N/A
3. If subjects received compensation, is there documentation?
Yes No N/A

Comments:

Recordkeeping/Security:

1. Where are the consent forms and applicable study related data maintained?

 - a. If applicable, does this align with the storage methods outlined in the protocol?
Yes No N/A
2. Are study related records maintained and organized in a manner that allows for easy retrieval of documents and/or does the study file demonstrate that the PI is able to maintain accurate, complete, and current records?
Yes No N/A
3. Pertaining to hard copy documents, were security measures in place to protect the privacy of the subjects and confidentiality of the information in the study documents as stated in the protocol synopsis (e.g., locked cabinet, coded, etc.)?
Yes No N/A
4. If the researchers proposed to collect the data anonymously, has the anonymity been maintained in the physical and/or the electronic records?
Yes No N/A
5. If applicable, is electronic data stored on a secure and password protected computer?
Yes No N/A

6. Is access to computer, electronic files, and physical files limited to appropriate study personnel? Yes No N/A

7. Was the research data stored/disposed of as described and approved by the IRB? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Continuing Review:

1. Is the principal investigator aware of when his/her project expires? Yes No N/A

2. Have there been any lapses in IRB approval? Yes No N/A

a. If YES, did the PI report any research activity that was performed during the lapse? Yes No N/A

3. Were there any changes to the approved project since the last continuing review? Yes No N/A

a. If YES, was a revision submitted to the IRB? Yes No N/A

4. Have there been any new findings to change the risk benefit ratio? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Genetic Research:

1. Are samples being obtained in a manner consistent with the protocol synopsis?
Yes No N/A
2. Are samples being used and stored in a manner consistent with the protocol synopsis?
Yes No N/A
3. Is written consent required? Yes No N/A
4. Were subject identifiers collected? Yes No N/A
 - a. If so, were they collected in a manner consistent with the protocol synopsis?
Yes No N/A
 - b. Is the identifying information being stored and maintained in a manner consistent with the protocol synopsis?
Yes No N/A
4. If samples were coded, were they coded in a manner consistent with the protocol synopsis?
Yes No N/A
5. Is there a secondary use of the samples? Yes No N/A
 - a. If so, is there IRB approval for these uses? Yes No N/A

Comments:

Auditor's Finding(s) / Suggestion(s):

- No finding(s) or determination(s) noted

- Met Compliance Criteria: No issues or findings
- Non-Compliance Deficiency: Failure to follow the regulations governing human subjects' research, North Texas Regional IRB policies and procedures related to human subjects research or the requirements or determinations of the IRB
- Serious Non-Compliance Deficiency: Increases risks to subjects; adversely affects the rights, welfare or safety of subjects; compromises the scientific integrity of the research, or compromises the integrity or effectiveness of the North Texas Regional IRB Human Research Protections Program
- Continuing Non-Compliance: A pattern of repeated noncompliance that indicates an inability or unwillingness to comply with governing human subject's research regulations, North Texas Regional IRB policies and procedures or the requirements or determinations of the IRB

Suggested Corrective and Preventative Action Plan(s):

Research Compliance Auditor's Attestation:

I certify that I have reviewed the protocol referenced above and found that it met the criteria as described above.

Research Compliance Auditor's Signature

Date

Principal Investigator or Designee

Date

NTR IRB Chairperson or Designee

Date

HSC Director, Research Compliance

Date